P1-88

App F IX 4

Previous References

1928-29 (ML)

File No 198-28

Serial Nos 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 3/5, 41

Later References

ML 221-35(1)
ML 71-36-8512, 13
RT & L 26 (15, 16)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 3/Last

Part B for May 1936

SUBJECT

Distributing roads by Lyney Institute
Municipal Loans

-58 To Mr Pdts Dts Boards
198-29-41 Mr Dirs of Dts
D 16436 Mr Chief Engineer of Highways
Mr Comptroller Water
When practicable Mr Maintenance of Dt Fu
-ads may be handled as Mr Metz
-ernet & Mr Maintenance Plant handled
Board made over to Mr Metz

2516 App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No (28 of 192

Serial No

memo no C2-3446/28-29 dated 8/5/29 from the Deputy commr Bangalore District forwarding ~~copy~~ letter no A2-11-7 dated 29-28 dated 8/5/29 of the President DBB Bangalore in regard to the realisation of amounts for the maintenance of roads within the limits

1 A Copy of GO of June 1927 on the subject is put up Several representations from municipalities on this subject have been received and the general question is being dealt with separately and ~~some~~ information as ordered by the Hon'ble member of Council was called for from the District Engineer and the same is awaited

2 The President DBB states that though the last order on the subject is clear on the point, it has been found practically difficult to enforce the same of approved roads on the General file will be awaited

3 Submitted for orders

83
20/5—K 24/5

4 In the final portion of this order, the direction was meant to be applied only in regard to Provincial roads by motor

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No

Rural limits, though the principle ought to apply regarding roads also - When the order will be amended suitably so the principle to the application to Aided roads also, or the Head Asst Dy Bengaluru informed that the order does not apply to Aided roads - No other Asst Dy has sent up any reference on the subject

(Jun Recd) 28/5

5 The order does not apply to District Fund Roads The President may be informed accordingly

Second member 23/5

6 [illegible]

[illegible]

V: 134-28 of 27-5-29

7 To the Chief Engr with compliments for favour of an expression of his opi

23/5

(1)

OFFICE OF THE SEC RD M COU C 25 MAY 1929 No 9467

5116/2

3

Public Works Department
Central office

File No [illegible]-13 of 192

Serial No

Draft no 496/PWD
27 6 29

Returned, with compliments

2 G C No L 8856-82/1 237-26-1 dated 14th June 1927, seems to apply only to State Fund Roads that run through the Municipal -- limits

3 It is only reasonable that the same principle should apply in the case of District Fund Roads also which similarly require enhanced grants for proper maintenance

Chief Engineer of Mysore 27 6 29

N J P

Put up draft reply as above

27/6

Submitted with a draft for approval

29 6 29

Second member

I think a general order should be passed. Please revise draft

13 Put up a draft order

Note -Contn sheet

WD 3104—GPB—3000 7 8 25

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
4 JULY 1929
No 134

5716

4

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 198 of 192 8

Serial No

14) A draft order is put up - Khan read who the order pays the rate to be paid & type the draft & put up

11/7/29

12/7

OFFICE OF THE S ME OF COUN IL
20 JULY 1929
A 714...

15) Submits with a typed draft

16/8/7

16) 15/7

17) 20/7

Second member

Dewan

18 It is desirable to get a st of these roads & charges from each of Rs in respect of the road such members in the st before we issue such an as Call for him to S.

C&S 23/7

Early

Mr RR

19) Draft put up

24/7 25/7

25

21) 26/7

W D 01634—GPB—30 000—20 4 29

Serial No	
8	Dy Comm of Dists & Supdt of Police Nork 950-70 Dy 5-8-29 regarding fr Engrs &c to Govt regarding measurement, thro' metres, length of tl & rds
9	Letter no PR 33/29 dated 27/8/29 from Chief Secretary to Govt
10	Letter no Ho 4 dl 10/8/29 from Chief Secretary to Govt
11	Memo no Cy- 740/29-30 dated 31/8/29 from the Dy Commr Bangalore Dist forwarding letter no A 211-740/29-30 dated 29th Aug 1929 from Govt Secretariat Dist Bangalore furnishing the information and requesting to send a copy of Govt Order
vide	The replies from the other Districts will be awaited Dist forwarding a copy of Govt is submitted for approval
	vide 18/9
12	Letter no Mys- 332/29-30 dated 10/10/29 from the Dy Commr Shimoga District furnishing the information
13	Letter no Dy Dis 252/29-30 dated 13/11/29 from the Secretariat Dist Mysore furnishing the information required
	So far replies from the Presidents of Dists of Hassan Kolar, Bangalore, Shimoga & Mysore Districts are rec'd.
	Kind attention is invited to Sec 44 of the Dist Regulation of 1926, which lays down that every road, building or other work constructed by a Dist from the Dist Fund

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20-4 29

L 2354 / 1932 — 6/462 of 30

App F III—2]

eral and Revenue Secretariat — File No 198 of 1928

Serial No	
	24) The receipt of replies from the Nine districts will of approved be awaited
	25) Please put up D O reminder to Parsais from whom replies are awaited (29 11 29 AJ 21/12/29)
26	Submitted with a draft D.O for approval from Mr (23/11/29 AJ)
16	Letter no L dis c 21-21/Reg 15/9/29 from the Dy Hassan furnishing the information
	27) Please see if any replies are complete work up (21/12/31)
	28) Reply from the Dy Commr Tumkur has yet to come and if approved he will be reminded demi-officially (22 12 31)
	29) Yes (24 12 31)
	30) Submitted with a draft reminder (26/12)
	31) Issue (26 12 31)

TYPING SECTION 28 DEC 1931 GENL & REV SECTT

Issued not 4751 of 4/1/32 To DS Mallappa President DSS Tumkur Requesting him to furnish the information without delay

Sus

NOTE—Contd on sheet

WD 066—GPB—30 000—26 7 29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ind File No 128 of 1928

Serial No	
16	Reply from the Pak Dr Board Tanker has not yet been received Send him a DO reminder 27/11 SD 33) Despatched 25 27/11/32 Issued S 380 of 30/32
17	Letter no C 602/28-3 dy 7-1-32 from the pdt, OTBd, enclg forwdg the information
18	Letter no C 4/AD 28-30 dy 11-2-30 from the pdt, OTBd simila forwdg the information
19	Letter no AB 66/28-30 dy 7-3-30 from the pdt, OTBd enclg leaflet forwdg the information
20	Letter no HC 4/28-30 dy 23-8-30 from the pdt, OTBd, leaflet forwdg the information
21	Letter no Ldes L 7-6 dy 21-6-32 from the pdt, OTBd Tanker forwdg the information
	36) Filed with reference to para 1 of Note supra 21/7 Please perush (1) the file relating to the representation referred to in para 1 of these notes, & (11) the file re the GO 20 of 1926 2004 — the 237-26-5 dy 3 9 1928
26)	(1) Ind file 167 28 (2,3) and ref 217-30-1 are perused ↑ copy of the (11) Ind file 5 of 3 9 1928 is not helpful the

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Dist Fund
~~State Fund~~ roads lying within Mul limits

Mul File No 168 of 1938 | 18 General and Reven Secretariat

connected with + not readily traceable and is very hard

Mm 2/8 AD

37) Please furnish a statement showing the total lengths of Dt Fund Roads in each District lying within Municipal limits and the amount chargeable to the Municipal Council as contribution for maintenance charges thereof

AM 27/8

38) — x
Statement sent

Mm 2/9

39) The information contained in the statement was called for in accordance with the second Members order in para 18 Supra with a view to issue a general order directing Mun' Councils in the State to contribute towards the maintenance of portions of Dt Fund Roads running in Municipalities, just as in the case of State Fund roads The latest order regarding the latter is GO no L 8 26-Re 147 29 9 9 to 29 under which the Rlys are liable to pay ½ or ¾ of the usual average mileage road maintenance charges the liability of each Mun Council being fixed individually having regard to the nature of the traffic passing over the roads namely whether it is through or local traffic or both

(40) The suggestion to enforce the same order re Municipal contribution towards the maintenance of State Fund roads in the case of Dt Fund roads also was made by the Bangalore Dt Board; the several Rlys in the District having disagreed with the President in regard to the payment of their quota ~~xxxxxxx~~ This

x Map IV

Typing Section) for please type the statement below
Mm 3/8

Note does not go in the file

TYPING SECTION
31 AUG 193
GENL & R & S

Maintenance of D & F roads by cultivators and limits

10

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No 198 of 1932 | *[App F III—2

Serial No

not known what the practice is in other Districts and the information recd does not show whether Municipal Councils are contributing anything or to what extent towards the maintenance charges of Dist Bd roads within their limits of this information is considered necessary it will be called for

41) Yes, please (II Member) dm 6/9

by 7-9-32

RR 8/9/32 dm 8/9

1876

42) Withdrawal proposal

dm 9/9 AS

43) Issue dm 14/9

TYPING SECTION 15 SEP 1932 GENL &I

2113-20 dy 4-9-32

to the posts of AT-AS

Requests them to intimate whether the Municipalities are exercising any control over the maintenance of Dist Bd roads, etc

From-

The President of Board- Kolar Letter No D 2 dis 138 dy 22/9/32

" Chittaldrug ——— 25-9-26-9-32

States that the Municipalities within AT are not contributing or exercising any control towards the maintenance of Dist Bd roads lying within their limits

See A

KS

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13 8 31

11

File No

of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

From The President St Board Hassan letter no 1683 28/9/32
States that Aberdeen alone contributes Rs 300/- towards the maintenance of 3 miles of loop road at Rs 100/- a mile

From
The President St Board Tumkur letter no 1046 & 26/9/32
States that none of the others are contributing towards the maintenance charges of St Trunk roads lying in municipal limits

44) Information from other Dts has not yet been received The receipt of replies cannot be awaited for a fortnight more than Rs issued if necessary

45) Dft 4/10

Fwd A & S 10

Letter no A3E 267/32-33 dt 29-6-32 from the Collector, Kolar forwarding a statement of St Trunk roads running within municipal limits

From The President, Distt Board Kolar no Dy dt 137/Ls 32-33 dated 3 & 3/8
States that no Contribution is being received from the Municipal Councils of that District

From The President Distt Board, Bangalore no T 1769/32-33 dt 5 10 32
States that no contributions being received from any municipality in this depart

19
37 22

Serial No

From the President, Dist Board, Kadur dtd
no L Dis 1-86/32 dated 20 2 33
states that none of the municipal towns
are contributing anything towards the
maintenance of D F roads lying within
the municipal limits

46) Reply is due from the President Dist Board
Shimoga reminder is put up
from CE
23/3/33
CE

47) Issued 28/3

TYPING SECTION
29 MAR 933
CIVIL SECTT

Cus A
L
21/3/33

Issued no L 6327 dated 30 3 33

22 From the Asstt, D Bd, Shimoga no C 324/LB 32-33 dated 26 3 33
States that no contribution is being received hitherto by any municipality towards the maintenance of D F roads running in ml limits, that the B at the meeting held on 133 resolved that arrangements be made to get the proportionate income from the respective ml tees &c

48) It will be seen from the urgs received that the Alur ml alone is making a contribution of Rs 300/- a year at Rs 100 a mile for the maintenance of a certain loop road and that no contribution is being made by any ml in any other Distr for the maintenance of D F roads lying

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Maintenance of D.F. roads lying on ML limits.

ML File No 198 of 1932 | General and Revenue Secretariat

237

within its limits
Subd with refce to paras 40, 41, supra,

50) Before issuing orders requiring the Municipal Councils to pay contribution towards the maintenance of the portions of DF roads lying within their limits it is perhaps necessary to ascertain if they are being given their share of the road cess on motor vehicles passing on such roads, payable to them under the Motor Vehicles Rules. It is likely that the DF Bds are appropriating the whole amount collected & that this may be one of the reasons for the ML Councils not contributing anything for the maintenance of the roads.

(See Secy)

51) A Bill was also for the maintenance of Municipal roads (DF roads) in which charge are taken.

Subd (53)
Such roads are under the charge of the ML Bds and are being maintained by the Bds

54 The above statement is not quite accurate.

GENERAL SECRETT
11 JULY 1933
No

...and Revenue Secretariat — File No 178 of 1932

Serial No

2 27

56 The maintenance of these roads is done by the State P W D and the maintenance charges are paid by the District Boards

13/7/33 (Sd) Illegible 15/7

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 17 JULY 1933 No—

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 20 JUL 1933 No—

57 Enquiries re A in last two paragraphs

Please submit draft

17/7

Draft submd,

18/7 (Sd) Illegible 19/7

TYPING SECTION 21 JUL 1933 GENL & REV SECTT

Sl 31

The Secs of DTS d 725-32

Requests them to state whether roads in whose limits DF roads lie, are bearing proper share of the road Cess on motor vehicles passing over such roads & also to specify the amt that is being paid to the several LBs & Cs in the Dt—

From the Dy Comm^r, Hassan dated 8/22 8/23 C 52 8/33 forwards letter No A, 161-30 d 25 8 33 from the Pandent, Dist^t Hassan, on the matter

Sl^s 32, 33 34, 35 letters from the Dy Commr of Hassan Kollar Kolour & Shyamo Sh, furnishing information called for in Sl 31, (61) Submitted

NOTE—Contn Sheet

15

all File No 198 of 1938 | General and Revenue Secretariat

of the maintenance at their own expense by the Municipalities in the Hassan Dt, portions of the Dt Fund Roads within municipal limits & States that such portions may be ordered to be handed over to the municipal Councils concerned the Dt Board being instructed to pay a reasonable contribution to the Councils for the maintenance of the roads & that portions of State Fund Roads within municipal limits may also be handed over to the municipal Councils on payment of proportionate maintenance charges, this arrangements being given effect to in respect of municipalities agreeing to the above conditions

62 Submitted Repee paras 50 & 54 supra

Replies are due from 4 Dy Comrs to SP 31

5/10/33

27

63) They may be reminded

10/11

No A 6 L C 186/33 34 dt 13 11 33 from the DC. Chitra 37
stating that no portion of the Road cess credited to Dist Funds is given out to municipalities the Dist

No J 2 P R 134/33 34 dt 18 11 33 from the DC 38
Bangalore stating that excepting the B'lore city Mlty no other mnlty is being paid their share of Road cess

64 Information received so far is that excepting the B'lore city municipality none of the municipalities get any portion of the Road cess

65 The Dy Comrs of Tumkur and Shimoga have not replied as yet. They may perhaps be reminded

66 Submit for orders

67 Yes

26/11/38

16

2141

[App F III—2

M File No 168 of 1928

Serial No	
59	Letter no M3 C 261-57/33-34 D, 8-11-33 from the DC, Shimoga reporting that no rules in the district is in receipt of a share of the road cess collected on motor vehicles
	68 The receipt of reply from the DC, under ref will be awaited A reminder has been issued to him today
	11/12/34 15/12
60	Letter no L dis A-6 C 299/33 34 D, 12-5-34 from the Collector reporting that road cess at the rate of Rs 22-13-0 per cent is being given to the Taluk Boards for the three classes running on the old and new Chitradurga Taluk Boards and that other Boards are not given anything
	69 It is seen from the information received that except Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities and Taluk Boards, none of the Municipalities get any portion of the road cess collected

NOTE—Contn Sheet

17. 6325 p 33 2741 (529) I 5-297.

(File No 148 of 1928) General and Revenue Secretariat

motor vehicles

70) Seen circular referred to in para 5-7 of the notes supra

71) The Motor Vehicles Rules seem to be under revision now on the subject of the road cess payable to Municipalities. Please ascertain from the Protection Br & note after Notes will get any notice of the cess under the proposed arrangement

Jm 29/5

72) Protection Branch - with compliments for form of furnishing the information referred to above

Jm 29/5/24

Done 29/5

73) The amendment appears on page 136 of Part IV of the Mysore Gazette of 31st 5th 1924 (Rule 30(3) of Motor Vehicles Rules. This will be sent along with the linked file referring to Town Municipalities for perusal, it appd 2/6/24

Jm 31 2.

Done 2/6

74 The linked file may be kindly returned after perusal.

Legislation 45 of 3:

75 L.S & Br with Compts

Jm 2/6/24

Serial No.

76) Under sub-para 71 of the notes supra under the amended sub-rule (3) of rule 30 court-fees received in respect of motor buses shall be apportioned between the respective local authorities and the Govt in proportion to the estimated number of miles for the purpose of this rule a local authority means a District Board a city municipality or such other town municipality as Govt may notify in this behalf However it has been stated in the Rev Secys letter dt 2-6-34 from the Collr of Nagapattinam, that Govt decline not to extend the principle of a claim to road cess to town or municipalities for the present

Collr of Tanjore Lrs 45-33

E

77 Please put up G O no L S 26-ME 147 29 9 dt 16 10 1929

19/8

78 A copy of G O no L S-26 dt 16-10 29 is forwarded

19/8

79) Please see the note put up by me typed on the next page

19/9

Either sub heading

9

File No of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

8) The question pending in this file is whether Municipalities should not be required to pay contributions to the District Boards in respect of the District Fund roads passing through their limits on the analogy of the payment made by them to Government in respect of the sections of state Fund roads under G O No L 8856-82/M1 237-26-1 dated 4th June 1927

9) The question was raised by the President District Board Bangalore in his letter No A 211/700 dated 8th May 1929, on the strength of the provision in sec 54(1) of the Municipal Regulation 1906 quoted in para 2 of G O No L 8856-82 dated 14-6-27 The Chief Engineer having agreed that the principle underlying the latter order should apply to District Fund roads it was proposed to issue an order as in the draft flagged G O

10) Before however issuing the proposed order, it was directed that the length of the District Fund roads in Municipal areas and the contribution payable by the Municipalities in respect of them should be called for The information received is summarised in the statement flagged E

11) Enquiry was next made as to whether contribution is being made by Municipal Councils in any district towards the maintenance of such roads It is ascertained that no such contribution is being made except by the Alur Municipal Council in the case of a loop road

12) A further enquiry having been made whether the several Municipal Councils are being given the proportionate

Serial No

proportionate road cess on motor vehicles passing through the Municipalities it is reported that no such payment is being made except in the case of Bangalore and Mysore Cities and the Tumkur Town The idea now is to pay the road cess only to such Town Municipalities as Government may notify in this behalf

Flag B
Rly 0731 3-34

8. It is now for orders whether the draft order proposed should be issued The Deputy Commissioner Hassan has in his letter No C 549-33 dated 22nd September 1933 considered the legal aspect of the question He states that to give effect to the provision in Sec 65 of the Town Municipalities Regulation and sec 23 of the Minor Municipalities Regulation the bits of District Fund roads passing through Municipalities should be handed over to the respective Municipal Councils and the latter asked to maintain the roads at their cost He has also raised the question whether in asking for such payments the Municipalities should not be made to pay only a portion of the expenditure incurred on the roads as in the cases of the State Fund roads as the roads are used both for local and through traffic This suggestion seems to deserve consideration especially when the Municipal Councils are not given any portion of the road cess realised

9. The points for orders are -

(i) whether the Municipal Councils should be required to contribute towards the maintenance of portions of District Fund roads passing through their limits

RE—Contn Sheet

Maintenance of Dt Fund roads within mf limits

'21 5297 5-297 5

mf File No 198 of 1928 | General and Revenue Secretariat

(ii) If so whether they should be asked to pay the whole of the charges incurred or a portion of the same and

(iii) whether in case the payment is to be enforced such portion of the roads should be transferred by the District Boards to the Municipal Councils as suggested by the Deputy Commissioner Hassan

87 It is not known what the practice in British India is in the matter The Chief Engineer may be consulted again re (i) and (ii) above, and after his opinion is received the Law Section may be consulted re (iii)

~~Law~~ 29/A/29

88 Forwarded

Dy Secy

89 A approved

90 Forwarded with compliments unofficially D.O.No 77-34 to the Chief Engineer for favour of remarks 2-10-34

By order

Asuba Rao

Jr Secy to Govt

mr Dep Offg

D.O.No 179 34-35 3-10-34

Br 11.

Call for mf from CE.

Returned with compliments -

91 2 I consider that the District Boards should pay for the maintenance of their roads passing through

1796 21 SEP 1934

3/9.

Of Fund roads ... 92

S-297

[App F III—

Secretariat and Revenue ... File No 198 of 1928

-2-

Serial No

Municipal limits at the usual mileage grant rates for the same roads beyond the limits and that the municipalities should be required to pay a certain proport: of the maintenance charges ranging from ½ to ¾ milea grants as it is more costly to maintain roads within municipal limits than outside

92

3 If any concession be, however, considered necess: on account of the slender resources of some of the municipalities, the same percentage of contribution as is fixed in the case of State Fund roads lying with municipal limits may be fixed in these cases also.

Chief Engineer of Mysore 7/2/36

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
1 FEB 1936
No 6168

93) For orders

N-7th

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0 955—GPB—22 500—1-6-34

maintenance of Dt Fund roads within Mun limits

253

111

File No 1[illegible] of 1928 | General and Revenue Secretariat

97 Send note on subject

17/2 17/2

98 Please get the note typed in half margin

17/2

Reply

XX

Typed see n c

17/2

99 Typed note sent

17/2 18/2

100 For appr

Dewdney)

17/2

26/2

27/2

s 37

20/2

Opinion of the Standing Committee on Local Self Government

---

101 Where practicable, the maintenance of such roads may be handed over to the Municipality concerned and the maintenance grant of the District Board made over to it on condition that it spends not less than that amount on such roads Elsewhere the existing practice may continue

Secy 6/3

102 Please put up a draft so accordingly here

16/3

103 Draft sent for consideration

24/3 25/3

Please amplify the 4th para of the draft explaining the present position

25/3

Maintenance of District Fund roads within municipal limits

5297 — 5297 / 24

General and Revenue Secretariat — 24 C File No 198 of 1928 — [App F III—2

Serial No

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
4 APR 1936
No 765

Revised Govt Order issued for consideration

8-4/36 MW — Secretary — Asst 31/3

6 A 3 / 1/4

MW 7 4 Asst 4/4

MW 5/4/36

MW 6 A Issue Asst 7/4

GENERAL & REVENUE SECTION
1 APR 1936
GENL & REV SECTT

61 To Mrs pdt, Sr BDs Chpn Dep-comm
" Dep comm Sr
" Chief Engineer
" Comptroller-pdt

7840-Sr dy 16-4-36

State that where practicable, the maintenance of Dt Fund roads may be handed over to the mpl Council and the maintenance grant of Dt Bd made over to it on condition that it spends not less than that amount on such roads and that otherwise the existing practice may continue

RE—Contd. Sheet

WD 03594—GPB—30 000—18-7-35

24/4/36 MW 4/5

B

File No	of 193	General and Revenue Secretariat

Mil 498-28 - b

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

No A 211-700/27-28

Bangalore 6th May 1928

From

B ABDUR RAHMON ESQ B A
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
BANGALORE

To

B Narayanaswamy Iyengar Esqr, B A, B L,
General Secretary to the Government,
BANGALORE

Through - The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

SIR

Regarding maintenarce of roads within Municipal limi

Adverting to para 2 of Government Order No L 8856-82 Mi 287-26-1 dated 14th June 1927, I have the honor to stat that on a reference to the several Municipalities in the District, no reply has been received from three of them Four of ther have furnished their opinion But none of them are in agreement with the Government Order for paymen towards the maintenarce of the portion of District Fund Roads that run in Municipalities Government Order is clear on the point, but it has been found practically difficult to enforce the orders I request definite orders of Government regarding the mode of realisation of amounts spent for the maintenarce of these bits of roads A statement showing the names of roads and the portions that run in Municipalities and the amount spent annually for each of them is appended

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B A Rahmon

President,
District Board

27

192 —2

From

THE PRESIDENT

BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No

Enclosure No

Mysore Public Works Department — Bangalore Division

Statement showing the length of District Fund Roads running within Municipal limits

No	Name of Roads	Name of Municipality	Section of Road From	Section of Road To	Length of Road Mls	Length of Road Yds	Grant per unit	Amount spent annually		Remar
1	Bangalore Anekal Road via Bannerghatta	Anekal	1/25	5/25x160yds	4	160	150	90	0	0
2	Iglur Gurlapur Road	Do	7/22	2/21x42 yds	3	42	100	40	0	0
3	Hoskote Kodgodi Anekal Railway Feeder Road	Do	4/25	6/25	2	-	100	25	0	0
4	Kolar Sompur Road	Vadigerhalli	25	26	8	-	150	150	0	0
5	Begur Thyamagondlu Nidavanda Road	Thyamagondlu	6/28	1/29	3	-	100	38	0	0
6	A cross Road	Doddballapur	8/1	1/2	1	-	100	13	0	0
7	B Do	Do	1/2	3/2	2	-	100	25	0	0
8	C Do	Do	1/1	8/1	7	-	100	88	0	0
9	Nelamargala Gollahalli Doddballapur Road	Do	4/9	5/20	9	-	100	113	0	0
10	Charnapatna Solur Road	Charnapatna	0	5/1x140	5	140	150	108	0	0
11	Kankanhalli Kodihalli Road	Kankanhalli	1/1	3/1	2	-	100	25	0	0
12	Closepet Kankanhalli Road	Do	1/17	3/17x54	2	54	100	20	0	0
13	Bangalore Mysore Road	Do	3/35	3/36	8	-	100	100	0	0
14	[illegible]alli Magadi Road	Magadi	3/7	3/10	8	-	150	150	0	0

Sd/ R W Scaldwell

Executive Engineer,
8-2-'28

"C o p y"

B K Ramon

President,
District Board

20) 296 (14

A P VI 611

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 6 8 1929

Signed by

I ist of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section 2nd

File No 198-28

Serial No 8

No L9557-10

To The Dy Comrs ~~of Kadapa~~ and Prests ~~of Bds~~.

Sir

With reference 6-E 0 no L 1986-2004 2nd 237-26-5-29 28 directing that one and half times the normal mileage rate, be recovered from 2nd cls for the maintenance of the sections of State Road roads lying in Third limits, I am directed to

over

3

Request upon to be so good as to furnish a statement showing the Districts through which the several metres on your Beat, the length of each such road of the Sections in such Districts & the Charges which the Board is to incur the several Trustees will have to pay for the maintenance of these portions of the roads at the rates fixed on the 6th instant as above

Date that the question of repairs & Turnpike roads by which Mr Mantell has been asked I am unable to

I have etc

WB 26/7

for Secretary

26/7

" 25/7

ML 198-28-9

Office of the President District Board

Hassan, Dated 7th August 1929

17-C 148-28-9

GEN & REV SECTT
756
14 AUG 1929
L S G BRANCH

From

Rao Bahadur
N S NANJUNDIAH Esq
President, District Board
Hassan

To

B Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq, B , B L,
General Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

3737

Through the Deputy Commissioner, HassanDistrict

9 AUG 1929
HAS

Subject - Maintenance of District and roads lying in the Municipal limits

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 955-79/ML 198-28-9, dated 5th August 1929, I have the honor to intimate that details have been called for from the Executive Engineer in that connection and a reply will be sent to you soon after the receipt of the same

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board, Hassan

Memo

Forwarded with compliments

9th August 1929

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Office of the President, District Board,
Kolar District.
Dated, Kolar, the 10th August 1929.

REV SECTT
GEN 795
15 AUG 1929

From

C B GOPALA RAO, ESQUIRE,
President, District Board, K O L A R

To

B NARAYANASWAMY IYENGAR, ESQUIRE, B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Departments, B a n g a l o r e

Thro'

() THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR DISTRICT ()

Sir,

Referring to your letter No L 955-70 Ml 198-28-8 dated the 6 instant, calling for a statement showing the length of District Fu roads within the several Municipal limits, I have the honour to herewith a statement and to state that information pertaining t Bowringpet and Malur has not yet been received

2 The Executive Engineer, Kolar, has been requested to supp mation re Bowringpet and Malur and on its receipt the same wi forwarded

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

C B Gopala Rao
P r e s i d e n t,
District Board, Kolar.

DEPUTY ... OFFICE OF ... 12 AUG 1929

No Lrs 10 / 29-30

Forwarded with Compliments

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

Statement showing the District Fund Roads running with 2½ Municipal

S	Name of road	No of Miles From	To	Miles	Fu lo
1	Kolar Sompur Road	o	5/1		
2	Mulbagal Goribidnur Road	1/19 2/19 4/19 5/19 6/19			
3.	do do	1/1	5/1		
4	do do	3/44	8/44		
5	do do.	1/31	8/31	1	
6.	do do	3/54	7/55	1	
7	do. do	6/75	8/75		
8	Chickballapur Nandi Road	0	x1/2	1	
9	Goribidnur Madhugiri road	0	5/2	1	
10	Peresandra Goribidnur road	6/9	3/10		
11	Chintamani Chinnasandra road	2/46	7/46		
12	Chintamani Chelur road	1/1	7/1		
13	Chintamani Bagepalli road	3/34	x 7/34		
14	Kolar Malur Hosur road				
15	Malur Masthi road				
16.	Malur Hosakote road				
17	Budikote feeder road				
18	Kolar Antharganga Spring road	.		2½	

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

No A 211-700/28-29
Enc 1

Bangalore 29th August 1929
Rec 198-28-11

From

B ABDUR RAHMON ESQ B A
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
BANGALORE

To

Rec 1313

13 SEPT 1929
L S G BRANCH

B Narayanaswami Iyengar Esqr,
General Secretary to Government,
BANGALORE

Through -
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 855-70/Ae 198-28-8 dated 5th August 1929, I have the horour to submit herewith a statement showing the District Fund Roads passing through the several Municipalities in the Bangalore District noting therein the length of the section and the charges incurred by the Board for their maintenance for the information of Government In this connection I beg to invite kind reference to this office reference No A 211-700/27-28 dated 8th May 1928 A copy of Government Order No L 1098-200/Ae 237-26-5 dated 3rd September 1928 referred to in the letter under advertance, may kindly be forwarded

I have the horour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
31 AUG 1929
No 4637
BANGALORE

C2-760/29 30 Aug one
Forwarded with compliments

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

192 —2

From

THE PRESIDENT

BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No ____

No

Enclosure No

Mysore Public Works Department — Bangalore Division

Statement showing the length of District Fund Roads running within Municipal limits

No	Names of Road	Name of Municipality	Section of Road From	Section of Road To	Length of Road Frlgs	Length of Road Yds	Grant per unit	Amount spent annually	Remarks
							Rs	Rs	
1	Bangalore Anekal Road via Barrelghatta	Anekal	1/25	5/25x160Yds	4	160	150	00	
2	Iglur Cumlapur Road	Do	7/22	2/21x40 Yds	3	40	100	40	
3	Hoskote Kalgodi Anekal Railway Feeder Road	Do	4/25	6/25	2	-	100	25	
4	Kolar Cowpur Road	Valigerahalli	25	26	8	-	150	150	
5	Begur Tyamagonilly Hidigarda Road	Thyamagonillu	6/28	1/20	3	-	100	28	
6	A Cross Road	Doddaballapur	8/1	1/2	1	-	100	12	
7	B Do	Do	1/2	3/2	2	-	100	25	
8	C Do	Do	1/1	8/1	7	-	100	88	
9	Nelamangala Collahalli, Doddaballapur Road	Do	4/2	5/20	0	-	100	112	
10	Channapatna Solur Road	Channapatna	-	5/1x140	5	140	150	102	
11	Karlahalli-Kodihalli Road	Karkanhalli	1/1	3/1	2	-	100	25	
12	Closepet-Karkarhalli Road	Do	1/17	3/17x54	2	54	100	30	
13	Bangalore Kolar Road	Do	3/25	3/26	8	-	100	100	
14	Gulamaranahalli-Nagali Road	Nagali	3/7	3/10	8	-	150	150	

"C o p y"

Sd/- P [illegible] Scaldell

Executive Engineer,
8-2-192

Sd/- [illegible]
President,
as District Board

Rev 198-28-12

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

NO M 3-332-29-30

DATED SHIMOGA, 10th October 19 29

L 1837
14 10 29

From

M N BALA RAJ URS ESQR B A
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

B NARAYANASWAMI IYENGAR Esq B A B L
General Secretary to Government
B a n g a l o r e

Sir

With reference to your letter No L 955-70/M1 198-28-8 dated the 5th August 1929 calling for certain information re the District Board roads lying within the Municipal Limits I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the particulars called for

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

For Deputy Commissioner
(Absent on tour)
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

38

Statement showing the District Fund Roads passing through the s

Name of Municipality	Name of Road	Actual distance running in the Municipal limits			Grant pe Mile
		Mile	Fur	Ft	
Shimoga	Shimoga Holehonur	-	3	-	60/-
Sagar	Sagar Shiralkoppa Road		7	645	150/-
Sagar	Sagar Lenhatti Kollur Ghat Road	-	5	505	80/-
Sorab	Sagar Kyadigi Road	-	-	510	80/-
Hosanagar	Kallurkatte KollurGhat Road	1	-	-	50/-
Tirthahalli	Ananthapur Thirthahalli	-	2	-	100/-
--do-	Koppa Tirthahalli	-	1	-	80/-
Shikarpur	Shikarpur Honnali Road	1	2	-	100/-
--do--	Shikarpur Ananthapur Rd	-	3	-	70/-
do	Shikarpur Masur Road	-	2	-	50/-
Shiralkoppa	Shiralkoppa Hirelerur	-	3	-	150/-
Honnali	Honnali Thumbinakatte Rd		5	-	50/-

' COPY '

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

G R N

eral Municipalities in the Dist

Cost	Remarks
22-8-0	
149-9-2	
57-10-5	
7-11-8	
50-0-0	
30-0-0	
10-0-0	
125-0-0	
26-4-0	
12-8-0	
56-4-0	
31-4-0	
578-11-3	

bzf/mcl 198-28-15

GEN & REV SECTT 2364 39
15 NOV 1929
L & G BRANCH

No D2805 25-28 B
29-30
Cucl 1

Office of the President, District Board,
Mysore District
Dated, Mysore, 12th November 1929

From

K V Anantaraman, Esq, B A,
President, District Board,
Mysore District,
Mysore

To

The Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq, M A, B L,
General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 955-70/M1 193-23-8 dated 5th August 1929 requesting to furnish certain information regarding the District Fund roads running within the Municipal limits in this District, I have the honour to enclose a copy of the statement furnished by the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, in the matter

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Anantaraman

for President,
District Board of Mysore

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT — MYSORE DIVISION

Statement showing the length of District Fund roads running in Municipal limits

Sl No	Name of road	Length running in the municipal limits: Miles From	Miles To	Total length: Furlongs	Yds	Name of town to which municipality belongs	By whom maintained	Present mileage grant	1¼ times to be levied to the Municipality
1	Mysore-Mahadevapur road	0	5/1	-	5 -	Mysore	Municipality I & D	60	56
2	Hassan-Periyapatna-Cannanore road	8/50+290' (± to 3/4+70)			1	Periapatna	Do	60	53
3	Hunsur-Yelitore road	0	1	1	- -	Hunsur	Do	200	300
4	Yelwal-Hassan road	5+6/13	-	-	2 -	Yedatore	Do	150	56
5	Yedatore-Ramanathapur road	1 to 5/1	-	-	5 -	"	Do	200	188
6	Hunsur-Begur road	0	2 m 130 Yds	-	0 130	Hunsur	Do	200	621
7	Hampapur-Ramanathapur road	3½	½ Fur	)					
		4½	1	)					
		5/12	?	)-	2 -	Saligrama	Do	80	30
8	French Rocks Station-Nelligere road	1/3	2/4	±	- 157	French Rocks	Do	125	220
9	-do- -do-	6/27+4/1'	1m ?/28	-	4 -	Ramanatha	Do	125	94
10	Mandya-Nagamangala road	2/26	3/26	-	1 -	"	Do	50	9
11	Lingarajachatram-Hallahalli road	8/8 to	5/9 m 384 yds	-	5 128	French Rocks	Do	45	63
12	Krishnarajpet-Nagamangala	0	7/1	-	7 -	Krishnarajpet	Do	35	46
13	Mysore-Hallahal-Sivasamudram road	4/27	3/28	1	- -	Talkad	Do	60	90
14	-do- -do- cross road	5/27	7/27	-	3 -	"	Do	60	93
15	[illegible] via Kalinahalli	7/60 8/65	1/8 8/64	- 1	-) -)	Bannur	Do Do	1) 200	375 150
16	Sorigaputam-Sulesivasamudram	6/14	2/15	-	4 -	[illegible]	Do	200	150
17	[illegible]	1/7	2/2	-	2 -	[illegible]	D	50	1
18	[illegible]	/	3/	-	3 -		D	0	33

	[illegible]	5/73	1/9	-	4	-) Bannur	Do	100	375
	via Kanalandalli	2/65	3/C±	1	2	-)	Do	200	150
16	Seringapatam-Sosale-Sivasamudram road	6/14	2/15	-	4	- / Do	Do	200	150
17	Mandya-Na amanjala road	1/7	2/?	-	2	- Mandya	Do	50	19
18	Mandya-Bannur road	1/1	3/1	-	3	- "	Do	60	33

Sd/- S Ramaswamy,

For Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division, Mysore

" C o p y "

G Mallaradhya

For President,
District Board of Mysore

Eg

Rc 193-28-16

mc 198-20-10

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
HASSAN DISTRICT

No L Dis.C 212-29/LSG *Dated Hassan the* 15th November 1929

GEN & RFV SECTT 2352 19 NOV 1929

From

S ABDUL WAJID Esq B A
Deputy Commissioner Hassan Districts

To

B NARAYANASWAMI IYENGAR Esq B A B L
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore

Sir

As desired in your letter No.L.955-70/M1 198-28-8 dated 5th August 1929, I have the honor to forward herewith for information a copy of the statement showing the length and the cost of maintenance of the sections of each of the District Board roads lying within the limits of the several Municipalities of this district which was obtained from the Executive Engineer, Hassan Division with his No. 317 dated 8th November 1929

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Statement showing the District Fund Roads which pass through several Municipalities in the Division their actual length and allotment etc

No.	Name of Road	Name of Municipalities.	Particulars	Actual length M	F.	Y	Grant per mile.
1.	Chennarayapatna - Narsipur Kodlipet Road	Holenarsipur Town	4/13 to 4/16 less 3 furlongs in petta where Yelwal Hassan Road crosses	2	5	-	150.
	Yelwal Hassan Road.	-do-	7/37 to 7/39 and 146 ft in 8/39.	2	-	49	100
	Chennarayapatna - Narsipur Kodlipet Road	Arkalgud Town.	2/27 to 2/28 and 146 ft - 1-0 ; 5/28 to 4/29 - 0-7	1	7	-	150
	Hassan Periapatna Cannanoor Road	-do-	7/18 to 2/21	2	2	-	120.
	" "	Hassan Town	0 to 5/1	-	4½	-	120
6.	Frazerpet Ramanath -pur Road.	Konanur "	7/16 to 7/17	1	-	-	100
6.	Chennarayapatna Sravanabelagola Road	Sravana-belagola Town	3/7 to 6/7	-	4	-	120
7	Sreerangapatna Chennarayapatna Road	Chennaraya-patna Town	3/42 to 6/42.	-	4	-	150
8	Hassan Salagame Road.	Hassan "	0 to 5/2	1	4	-	80
9	Yelwal Hassan Road	-do-	6/58 to 3/59.	-	6	-	100.
10.	Bharathavalli Alur Loop Road	Alur Town	6/1 to 4/2	-	6	-	100
11.	Arsikere Hulliyar Road	Arsikere Town	0 to 5/1	-	4	-	120
12	Arsikere Chennara-yapatna Road	-do-	"	-	2	-	120
13	Saklespur Arehalli Chicknahalli Road	Saklespur Town		-	2	-	100
14	Bage Belur Road.	Belur Town	2/18 to 2/17	1	-	-	130 200
15	Ludagere Belur Road.	-do-	8/20 to 4/20	-	4	-	100

Sd/- N Krishniengar
Executive Engineer
Hassan Division.

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

mil C98-28-17

I If t re
correspo d pl se
q ot
N C/02
Encls 29 30 /

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
Chitaldrug dated 7 May 1930

GEN & REV SECTT
3596
-9 JUN 930

FROM

C S KUPPUSWAMIENGAR ESQ
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

TO

SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
BANGALORE

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 955-50/1 dated 5th August 1 calling for a statement showing the District Trunk Roads passing through the several Municipalities in the District I have the honor to submit herewith a statement furnishing the particulars required

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For President

Statement showing the District Fund Roads passing through the Municipalities in the District

Name of road	Length of road running in the Municipality	Name of Municipality	Grant per mile	Charges to Municipality as per required grant
2	3	4	5	6
Hiriyur-huliyar road	1/1 to 3/1 = 3 furlongs	Hiriyur	100	38
Holalkere do	6/19 to 7/ 0 = 1 mile 1 fg	Hosadurga	100	113
" do	0 to 1/1 = 1 fgs	Holalkere	100	50
Bharamgiri-Hosadurga road	1/28 to 5/28 = 1 fgs	Hosadurga	100	50
Davangere-Anaji-Kalur road	0 to 1 = 1 mile	Davangere	200	200
Davangere-Honnadi road	0 to 4/1 = 4 fgs	"	150	75
Harihar-Harpanhalli road	0 to 4/1 = 4 fgs	Harihar	150	75
Vijapur-Ujjani road	7/13 to 6/19 = 1 mile	Jagalur	150	150
Chellakere-Nilanhatti Jagalur road	4/29 to 7/29 = 4 fgs	Jagalur	100	50
do	8/12 to 5/13 = 6 fgs	Nilanhatti	100	75

[illegible]	Chitaldrug Chellalere road	2/18 to 5/18 = 4 fgs	Chellalere	200	100
1	Chellalere Pavagada road	1/1 to 4/1 plus 100 yds= 4 fgs 100 yds	do	100	56
13	Hangal-Haydrug road	4/4 to 9/4 plus 120 yds in $\frac{3}{4}$ plus 72 yds in $\frac{3}{4}$ = 4 fgs 192 yds	Molalmuru	200	121

Chitaldrug
Dated the 7th Jany 1930

For President, District Board,
Chitaldrug District

ml 198-28-18

REV SECT 444

GEN 4085

14 [illegible]

C 41 I B 29-30

Enc One

Office of the President, District Board, Shimoga District,

Dated, Shimoga, the 8th February 1930

To

V N Balaraj Urs, Esq , B A ,

President, District Board,

S h i m o g a

R Ranga Pao, Esq , B A , B L ,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Departments, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your No L 955/70 M 1 198-28-8 dated 5th August 1929 calling for a statement showing the District Fund Roads passing through the several Municipalities, the length of the section of each such road in Municipal limits and the charges that the District Board is now incurring for the maintenance of these portions of the roads etc , I have the honor to enclose herein copy of a statement received from the Deputy Commissioner with his No N Dis M 3 C 1429/29-30 dated 24th instant on the subject

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for President, District Board
(On leave)
S h i m o g a

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No

Enclosure No

15 4

Statement showing the District ~~Fund~~ Roads passing through the several Municipalities in the District

Name of Municipality,	Name of Road	Actual distance running in the Municipal limits Miles	Fur	Feet	Grant per mile	Cost	Remarks
Shimoga	Shimoga-Holehonnur	-	3	-	60/-	22-8-0	
Sagar	Sagar-Shiralkoppa road	-	7	645	150/-	149-9-2	
Sagar	Sagar-Bennihatti-Kollur ghat road	-	5	505	80/-	57-10-5	
Sorab	Sagar-Bydari Road	-	-	510	80/-	7-11-8	
Hosanagar	Kallurgatte-kollur ~~ghat~~ road	1	-	-	50/-	50-0-0	
Thirthahalli	Ananthapur-Thirhthalli	-	2	-	120/-	30-0-0	
do	Koppa-Thrithahalli	-	1	-	80/-	10-0-0	
Shikaripur	Shikaripur-Honnali road	1	2	-	100/-	125-0-0	
do	Shikaripur-Ananthapur Rd	-	3	-	70/-	26-4-0	
do	Shikaripur-Nasur Road	-	2	-	50/-	12-8-0	
Shiralkoppa	Shiralkoppa Hirekerur	-	3	-	150/-	56-400	
Honnali	Honnali-Thumbinakatte Rd	-	5	-	50/-	31-4-0	
						578-11-3	

(sd) K Subba Rao
for Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

'Copy'

To
President, District Board,
Shimoga

Ml 198-28-1c

OLB 64/29, 30

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
Kadur District Board

Dated Chickmagalur 7th March 1930

46

H V Ramaswami, Esquire, M A, B L,
President District Board
Kadur District
CHICKMAGALUR

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B A, B
General Secretary to Government of Mysore
BANGALORE

Sir

With reference to your letter No L 955-70/Ml 198-28-8 dated 5th August 1929 calling for a statement of District Fund roads passing through the several Municipalities in the District, I have the honour to enclose herein a copy of the statement received from the Executive Engineer in the matter for favour of information of Government

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
H V Ramaswami
President,
Kadur District Board

RG
11/3/30

The main file D 3279 was sent to Law Secy on 3/1/30 May this awaited the return of that file & submitted for orders.
18/3/30

ml 198-28-20

47

Statement showing the District Fund Roads lying within Municipal limits, in the Kadur District

No.	Name of road passing within Municipal limits	Name of Municipality through which the road passes	Length of Road.	Rate of mileage.	Proportionate cost for the portion of road	Re
1.	Chickmagalur-Narasimharajapur Road.	Chickmagalur	2 Furlongs.	100-0-0	25-0-0	
2.	Danteramakki-Hiremagalur Road.	do	1 mile	40-0-0	40-0-0	
3.	Mudigere-Belur road	Mudigere	6 Furlongs	80-0-0	60-0-0	
4	Narasimharajapur-Mandagadde - Road	Narasimharajapura	1 1/16 "	50-0-0	7-0-0	
5	Tarikere-Hosadurga Road	Ajjampur	6 "	100-0-0	75-0-0	
6	Birur-Ajjampur road.	Birur.	4 "	100-0-0	50-0-0	
7	Birur-Lingadahalli road.	do	1 10/11 "	100-0-0	24-0-0	

President,
Kadur District Board

Subg

Ml 198-28-20

No Hc 4/29-30
Enc one

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
KOLAR DISTRICT

Dated Kolar the 22nd August 1930

From

L VEMA REDDY,
~~C Boxx Gopala Rao~~, Esquire
President District Board KOLAR

To

R RANGA RAO, Esquire, B A, B L,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
GENERAL Department BANGALORE

~~Sir (The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District)~~

With reference to your D O No L 1043 dated 30-7-1930 calling for a statement of District Fund Roads lying within Municipal limits of Bowringpet and Malur, I have the honour to enclose herewith a statement of District Fund roads lying within the above said Municipalities

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board, Kolar

The main file of no 3279 has been sent to the Secy on 28-8-30 requesting him to return that file and proceedings

1/9/30

26/9/30

49

Statement showing the District Fund roads lying within the Municipal limits of Bowringpet and Malur

No	Name of road	No of miles	Grant per mile	Amount at 1½ times the grant	Remark
1	Kolar Malur Hosur Road	1--6 fls	150/-	394	(M a l u r)
2	Malur Mastni Road	0--3	100/-	56	- do-
3	Malur Hosakote road	1--0	100/-	150	-do -
4	Budikote feeder road	0--4	125/-	94	Bowringpet

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board, Kolar

L Dmn on L 7 6 C 149 Ef 31 32

Ml 198-28-21 50

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur the 21st June 1932

From

D S MALLAPPA ESQ M L C
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT TUMKUR

DEPUTY C ... ECT I ... IS
11247
JUN 22 1932

To

GENERAL SECRETARY
30 JUNE 19..

RANGA RAO ESQ B A B L
GENERAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
BANGALORE

GEN & REV SECTT
18
1 JULY
L 8 6 BS

Through

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT TUMKUR

Sir

I have the honour to forward, herewith, statements showing the lengths of District Fund roads in the Official limits etc., in Tumkur District called for, in your 935-70/11 198-28 dated 5-8-1929

I have the honour to be,
sir,
your most obedient servant,
K Narayana Setty
Overseer, District Office,
Tumkur District

Rs

Dy 11247
FORWARDED WITH COMPLIMENTS
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

t t nt st o r l n this o Ti trict und ho d l in in municip l pres s in Tu kur Di trict

	Name of road		Village Grant	Name of Municipality	Length in Municipality Mile	Furlong	Yards	Remarks
1	Tumkur-Siddu road		225	Tumkur Municipal	--	6	--	
					--	7	125	
		Total			1	5	125	
2	Tumkur-Madhugiri road		100	Tumkur	--	7	198	
3	Tumkur Station road		50	do	--	-	--	The boundary stone is not fixed
4	Tumkur-Madhugiri road		100	Madhugiri	--	2	110	
5	Madhugiri-Pavagada road 1/36 to 3/36		150	Pavagada Turuvekere	--	3	--	
					--	6	--	
		Total			1	1	--	
6	Kasaba Hobli-Turuvekere road 3/11 to 6/11		100	Turuvekere	--	4	--	
7	Chikkanahalli-Gulur road 3/8-120 to 4/9-200		150	Chikkanahalli	1	1	80	
	Chickmagalur-Chikkanahalli-Kadaba road 0 to 3/1-45 yds		75	do	--	2	175	
9	Gulur-Chikkanahalli road 0 to 4/1		100	Gulur	--	3	--	
10	Sira-Chikkajajur road 0 to 4/1		150	Sira	--	4	--	
11	Sira-Hiriyur road 2 60 to 6/60		100	Sira	--	4	--	

Sd/- A T Nandoni Rao,
Executive Engineer,
Tumkur Division

Copy

K Narayana Setty
Vice President, District Board,
Tumkur District

L ? b C 203 Ea 2
3233

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur the 24th October 193 29

From

D S MALLAPPA Fsq MLC
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
TUMKUR DISTRICT TUMKUR

GEN & REV SECT 2228 15 L
C & P BRANCH

To

R RANGA RAO Esq BA BL
GENERAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
BANGALORE

GENERAL SECRETARY 12 NOV 1932 No. 5 5 Through

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT TUMKUR

Sir I have the honour to forward herewith a statement showing the lengths of District Fund Road lying in Municipal area in the Tumkur District except Tumkur Town The bits of road included therein are at present maintained at the cost of District Board As per Section 44 of the District Board Regulation, these bits of road do no vest in the District Board The question of reduction of mileage allotment having come up before the District Board at their meeting held on the 23rd September 1932, they have resolved that the District Board roads which are lying in the Municipal limits are to be maintained by the respective municipalities and that the municipalities may be informed accordingly and the mileage grant reduced A copy of the Resolution of the Board is enclosed for perusal The total length of such bits of road is miles 5 Furlong 6 Yards 50 and the cost of maintenance charges now paid thereon by the Board is Rs 828/- I request that sanction of Government to the Board's proposal may kindly be obtained and communicated

I have the honour to be

SIR,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

K Narayana Sa
for President, District Board
Tumkur District

4234 OCT 31 1932 #3 TUMKUR DISTRICT

RDED WITH COMPLIMENTS

H C Ramaswamy
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Statement showing lengths of District Fund roads lying in Municipal areas in Tumkur District

Sl No	Name of road	Village grant	Name of Municipality	Length in Municipality Mile	Furlong	Yards	Cost of maintenance paid by Dist Board
1	Turkur-Huliyur road	225/-	Tumkur Municipality	-	7	125	213
2	Sira-Madhugiri road	100	Madhugiri do	-	2	110	31
3	Tiptur-Tiptur road 1/36 to 3/36	150	Tiptur do	-	3	-	56
			Turuvekere do	-	6	-	113
4	Gubbi Chikkanahalli-Turuvekere road 3/11 to 6/11	100	Turuvekere do	-	4	-	50
5	Gubbi Chikkanahalli-Huliyur road 3/8-120 to 4/9-200	150	Chicknaikanhalli do	1	1	80	176
6	Chicknaikanhalli-Kasalvadi road 0 to 3/1-45	75	do	-	2	175	26
7	Gubbi-Gubbi road 0 to 3/1	100	Gubbi Municipality	-	3	-	38
8	Sira-Madhugiri road 0 to 4/1	150	Sira do	-	4	-	75
9	Pavagada-Sira road 2/60 to 6/60	100	do	-	4	-	50
							828

K Narayana Rao

For President, District Board,
Tumkur District

Extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the District Board, Tumkur District, held in the County Commissioner's Council Hall on the 22nd September 1932 at 12 noon.

54

Subject No 3 viii)

Executive Engineer's letter No 1483 dated 17-6-1932 forwarding list of District Fund roads lying within municipal limits of the several municipalities

Resolution of the Standing Committee - The District Board roads which are lying in the municipal limits are to be maintained by the respective municipalities The municipalities may be informed accordingly and the mileage grant may be reduced

Resolution - The resolution of the Standing Committee is approved

---

Extract

K Narayanaswamy

For President, District Board,
Tumkur District

ASD

ix F G—1]
CT

y No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193

te of issue 16/9

gned by Mr A S Rose

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section 2nd
File No 428-18
Serial No 22

No L2113-20

To The [illegible] of the Boards [illegible]
Sir, [illegible] are concerned

I am directed to request you to be so good as to intimate whether the roads in your [illegible] are entirely at your own maintenance [illegible] roads lying within their limits, and if so how much they pay and for what lengths of such roads

I have etc

Mr 14/9 John Grey
Sm 9/9

In all future corresqondence please quote
No D2Dc 138 32-33
Encle

Rev 1928-28-23

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
KOLAR.

56

Dated the 22nd Septr 193 2

GEN REV SECTT
28 SEPT 1928
L & G BRANCH

L VEMAREDDI Esqr
President District Board Kolar

R RANG RAO, Esquire, B A , B L ,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
General Department
BANGALORE

Thro' The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
Sir 23 SEP 1932
No L 89

Contribution for District Fund roads within Municipal limits

With reference to your letter No L 2113-20 Ll 1928-28 dated the 16th inst , calling for information re the above, I have the honour to state that none of the Municipal Councils in this District are contributing anything towards the maintenance of District Fund roads lying within the Municipal limits in pursuance of G O No L 8856-82 R L 237-26-1 dated the 14th June 1927

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board, Kolar

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
23 SEP 1932
Cf No 218

LB 25/32 33

REVENUE OFFICE

GENERAL SECRETARY 15 49 -3 OCT 1932 L & G BRANCH

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE CHITALDRUG SEP 28 1932 No. 2795

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD

Chitaldrug dated 26-9- 1932

FROM

G F Channappa, Esq , B A , LL B ,

PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

30 SEP

To

R Ranga Rao, Esq , B A , B L

Personal Secretary to the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

BANGALORE

(Through the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District)

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 2113-20 Ml 198-28 dated 16th September 1932 requesting to intimate whether the municipal councils in the District are contributing anything towards the maintenance of the District Fund roads lying within their limits &c I have the honor to reply in the negative

GPR 62 Dated 28/9/32

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

warded with Compliments

Deputy Commissioner

President

Mr 198-28-25

TEL ADDRESS: PRESBOARD

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD

NO A1-161-30 / L B ENCLS — Hassan Dated 28th Septr 1932

REVENUE SECTT 15 63 4 OCT L & G BRANCH

FROM

H B GUNDAPPA ESQ B A B L
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
HASSAN

TO

R Ranga Rao, Esq , B A , B L ,
General Secretary to the Govern ent
of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 3-OCT 19 No L 88

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan

5547

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE 1 SEPT 1932 HASSAN

Sir,

With reference to your No L 2113-30/L1 198-28, dated 16th Septr 32, requesting to intimate whether the Municipal Council in this District are contributing anything towards the maintenance of District Fund roads lying within their limits etc , I have the honor to state that no Municipality in the District has been contributing anything for the purpose as yet but the Municipal Council of Alur has been paying Rs 300/ a year, at Rs 100/ a mile as originally agreed to by them in addition to the District Board grant of Rs 500/ for maintaining three miles of the loop road

A reference will be made to the Govern ent shortly regarding the recovery of the contribution amounts from the other Municipalities in the District for maintaining such portions of the D F roads lying within their limits

by C 428-31

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H B Gundappa
President,
District Board, Hassan

Forwarded With Compliments
H B Gundappa Rao
The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan 4-

1046
3233

Mr 198-28-26 89

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur the 26th Septr *1932*
264

GEN REV SECTN 15745 4 OCT L & G BRANCH

K Narayana Chetty, Esquire,
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
TUMKUR DISTRICT TUMKUR

To

R RANGA RAO ESQ BA BL
GENERAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
BANGALORE

Through

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT TUMKUR

Sir

15732

With reference to your letter No L 2113-20/11 198-28 dated 16-9-1932 requesting to be informed if the Municipal Councils in this District are contributing anything towards the maintenance of District Fund roads lying within their limits, I have the honour to state that none of the Municipalities in this District are contributing for the purpose

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TUMKUR DISTRICT No 3478 SEP 27 1932

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

K Narayanashetty

President, District Board,
Tumkur District
(In charge)

ASD

41323

FORWARDED WITH COMPLIMENTS

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dist

Mr 198-28-27 60

Office of the President District Board
Mysore District

No D2 Dis 137 L B 32-33 *Dated Mysore* 27th September 1932

3

GEN & REV 1638 7 OCT 1932 L B & Bandhs

From

B S PUTTASWAMY ESQ B A B L
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
MYSORE

To

GENERAL SECRETARY 7 OCT 1932 No 2273

R RANGA RAO, ESQ , B A ,B L ,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

THROUGH THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE DISTRICT

Sir

Mr PA 10/10

SUBJECT —

With reference to your letter No L 2113-20/L1 198-28 dated 16th September 1932 requesting information as to - whether the Municipal Councils of this District are contributing anything towards the maintenance of District Fund Roads lying within their limits, I have the honour to state that no such contribution is being received from any of the Municipal councils of this District

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B S Puttaswamy

PRESIDENT,

DISTRICT BOARD OF MYSORE

Sr

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER 5435 No 3 OCT 1932 23

Mysore D 32-33

5435 YSL

For arded with Compliments

Deputy Comm
Mysore D ict

GEN & REV SECTN 1732 17 OCT L.S.G BRANCH.

Ml 198-28-28

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT - BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

61

1760 /28-28

Bangalore 5th October *1928*

V VENKATAPPA Esq
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY 15 OCT 1928 No 1732
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE No ---

To

The Secretary to the Government,
General Secretariat, Bangalore.

Through -

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

SIR

With reference to your letter No. I 2113-28 1 dated 18th September 1928 regarding contribution from the Municipal Councils towards the maintenance of District Roads lying within their municipal limits, I have the honour to state that no contribution is being received from any municipality in this respect.

07166

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
V Venkatappa
President,
District Board.

23
13/10

193 —193

FROM

THE PRESIDENT
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOAR

TO

DATED

DESPATCHED

RECEIVED

GENERAL NO
NO

ENCLOSURE NO

198-28-29

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOLAR DISTRICT

statement

Dated Kolar the 29th October, *1932*

A V RAMANATHAN Esq B A
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

No. R. Ranga Rao, Esq, B A, B L,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your letter No L 955-70/Ml 198-28-8 dated 5th August 1929, calling for a statement of District Fund Roads running within Municipal Limits, I have the honour to submit the same and to request orders on the question of recovering one and half times the usual mileage rate from Municipal councils for the maintenance of the portions of District Fund Roads lying within Municipal limits

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District
(Absent from station)

AR 2
291032

193 —193

FROM

THE PRESIDENT

BANGALORE DISTRICT BOA

TO

DATED

DESPATCHED

RECEIVED

GENERAL NO _____

NO

ENCLOSURE NO

Statement showing the District Fund Roads running within Municipal Limits in Kolar District

No	Name of Road	No of miles From	No of miles to	Miles	Furlongs	Grant per Mile	Amount at 1½ times the grant	Municipality	Remarks
1	Kolar Sompur Road	0	5/1		4	150	113	Kolar	
2	Mulbagal Goribidnur Road	1/19,2/19,4/19	5/19,6/19		4	110	83	Srinivasapur	
3	do do	1/1	5/1		5	110	103	Mulbagal	
4	do do	3/44	8/44		5¼	110	108	Sidlaghatta	
5	do do	1/31	8/31	1		110	165	Chintamani	
6	do do	3/54	7/55	1	3¾	110	252	Chickballapur	
7	do do	6/75	8/75		3 1/3	110	70	Goribidnur	
8	Chickballapur Nandi Road	0	1/2	1		175	263	Chickballapur	
9	Goribidnur Madhugiri Road	0	5/2	1	5	100	2½4	Goribidnur	
10	Peresandra Goribidnur Road	6/9	3/10		5	100	94	Gudibanda	
11	Chintamani Chinnasandra "	2/46	7/46		5	125	117	Chintamani	
12	Chintamani Chelur Road	1/1	7/1		6	70	79	Chintamani	
13	Chintamani Bagepalli Road	3/34	7/34		4	85	64	Bagepalli	
14	Kolar alur Hosur Road					150		Malur	
15	Malur Masti Road					100		Malur	~~Vide~~
16	Malur Hosakote Road					100		Malur	~~follow~~
17	Budikote feeder Road					125		Bowringpet	
18	Kolar Antharaganga spring "			2½		120	450	K o l a r	

" C O P Y "

R. Srinivasa

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District
(Absent from station)

Ml 198-28-30

62

No ~~L B~~ & Dis 1-86/32
Incl one

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
Kadur District Board

Dated Chik, the 20th February 1933

GEN & REV SECTT. 3722 27 FEB 1933 L S Gen I

From

HOSAKOPPA KRISHNA RAO Esquire,
President District Board
Kadur District
CHICKMAGALUR

To SECRETARY GENERAL 25 FEB 1933 No L 55

R Panja Rao Esquire B A B L
General Secretary to Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE

Through

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District, CHICKMAGALUR

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 2113-20/Ml 198-28 dated the 16th September 1932 enquiring whether Municipalities in this District are contributing anything towards the maintenance of District Fund roads running within Municipal limits &c , I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No 300 dated the 7th December 1932 from the Executive Engineer Kadur Division showing the length of District Fund roads lying within the several Municipal areas and to state that none of Municipalities are contributing anything towards the maintenance of such bits of - District Funds roads as lie within their respective jurisdictions

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for President
Kadur District Board

N R Gl 16520/32-33
4 2 33

Forwarded with Compliments

Deputy Commissioner-
Kadur District

63

Letter No 300 dated 7th December 1932 from the Executive Engineer Kadur Division Chickmagalur to the President Kadur Distri Board Chickmagalur

*****

Length of District Fund Roads lying within the limits of various Municipalities in Kadur District

---

With reference to your letter No L B 1/86/32-33 dated 24-9-32 regarding the above I have the honour to furnish the information required as noted below for your information

1	Kudigere Belur Road	1½ furlong from the Kudigere Town	
2	Chickmagalur-Narasimharajapur road	2 furlongs from Chickmagalur town	
3	Kadur Railway Feeder road	7 furlongs in Kadur town	
4	Birur do	5 furlongs in Birur Town	
5	Birur Ajjampur Road	4	do do
6	Tarikere Hosadurga Road	5	do in Ajjampur
7	Birur Lingadahalli Road	4	do in Birur
8	Narasimharajapura Mandaggadde Road	1 furlong in Narasimharajapur Municipality	

"Copy"

For President
Kadur District Board

~~M 106-28c~~ 161

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD

No C 334/L B 32-33 SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated Shimoga, the 29th June 1933

From

The President
District Board
Shimoga

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER 1 JULY 1933 SHIMOGA DIST

The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
BANGALORE

GEN & REV SEC 7 JULY 1933 L.S.G. BRANCH

SECRETARIAT 6 JULY 1933 No 459

THROUGH

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SHIMOGA DISTRICT
SHIMOGA

Sir,

With reference to your No L 12113-20/M1 188-29 dated 16th September 1929 enquiring whether the Municipalities in the Shimoga Dsstrict are contributing any-thing towards the maintenance of District Fund Roads running within Municipal limits &c I have the honour to state that no contribution is being paid hitherto by any Municipality towards the maintenance of District Fund Roads running within their Municipal limits but at the District Board Meeting held on 9th and 10th March 1933 it was resolved by the Board that arrangements may be made to get the proportionate contribution from the respective Municipalities

The Presidents of the several Municipalities have been addressed to credit their contribution to the District Funds proportionate to the length of roads running in their respective Municipalities and their reply in the matter is awaited

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

K H Halappa
PRESIDENT
DISTRICT BOARD SHIMOGA

IND 2/33 34
Forwarded with compliments
Dy Comr
1 7 33

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

C' 725-32/Jud 1928 GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated Bangalore 25th July 1933

I

neral *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

65

The Deputy Commissioner,

, of District.

for ? Civil Surgeon Kolar.

I am directed to request you to kindly state whether cipal Councils within whose limits bits of District -- roads lie are being given their share of the road cess oter vehicles passing along such roads, and if so, to ify the amount that is being given to the several unici Councils in your District

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

Asubba Rao

for General Secretary to Government

C VERY ALENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated Bangalore 5th J 193

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore

[Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ML

File No 198-28

Serial No 31

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 725-32

To The Secs of DLBs

Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly state whether ML cls within whose jurisdictions certain bits of Dt Farm roads lie, are being given their share of the road cess on motor vehicles passing along such roads, and if so, to specify the amount that is being given to the several ML cls in your Dt. I have &c

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 25/7/31

Signed by Mr A Subba Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Jun 1917 for Gen Secy MB

Ml 198-28-32 579

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
HASSAN DISTRICT

No F Dis Gl 1720-33

Dated Hassan the 29th J u l y 1933

FROM M CHENNARAJ URS Esq , B A , LL B ,
~~TxXxxEAMAxKYERxxExxxxxx~~
Deputy Commissioner Hassan District

TO S N APPANNA IYENGAR, Esq , B A, B L ,
General Secretaryto Government,
B a n g a l o r e.

GENERAL SECRETARY
31 JULY 1933
No 1519

SIR

With reference to your letter No I 725-32/Ml 198-28 dated 25th July 1933, enquiring whether the Municipal Councils within whose limits, bits of District Fund roads lie, are being given their share of the road cess on Motor Vehicles passing along such roads, I have the honor to state that the Municipal Councils in this District, are not being given any share out of the cess levied on Motor Vehicles plying through District Fund roads within their limits

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
M Chennaraj Urs
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

In all future correspondence please quote this
No. L.Dis Jr C 130/33-34
Encl.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOLAR DISTRICT

Dated Kolar the 31st July 1933

FROM T G Rama Iyer, B.Sc., B A,
~~A. V. RAMANATHAN, Esq., B.A.~~
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

GEN & REV SEC,
3 AUG 1933
L

To
S Kapparna Iyengar, Esq, B A, B L,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
General *Department*
Bangalore

With reference to your letter No L 725-32-Ll 198-28 dated 25th July 1933, enquiring whether any portion of road-cess is paid to the municipal councils within whose limits, bits of District Board Roads lie, I have the honour to reply in the negative

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

3469

In reply please refer to—
No L.Dis 509/33-34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KADUR DISTRICT

Chikmagalur dated 8th August *193*3

Ml 198-28 34

FROM

N Sundararaja Iyengar, Esquire, B A ,
C.S.KURPUSWAMIENGAR, Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

GEN & REV SECTT
10 AUG 1933
L & G

To

S Appannaiengar, Esquire, B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
General *Department*
BANGALORE

SIR

Adverting to your letter No L 725-32/Ml 198-28 dated the 25th July 1933 requesting me to intimate whether Municipal Councils within whose limits bits of District Fund Roads lie, are being given their share of the road cess on Motor Vehicles passing along such roads and if so to specify the amount that is being given to the several Municipal Councils in this District, I have the honour to state that there are no such bits of roads in this District

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

N S Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

MPE 198-28-35

93r | 1

No K2 20/53/33 34
Enc

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 17th August 1933

FROM

C S KUPPUSWAMIENGAR Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

TO

H V Ramaswami, Esq , M A , B L ,
General *Secretary to the Government of Mysore*
Bangalore

SECRETARIAT
22 AUG 1933
No 1 53

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 725-32 Ml 193-28 dated 25th July 1933 requesting me to intimate whether Municipal councils within whose limits bits of District Fund Roads lie, are being given their share of the road cess on Motor Vehicles passing along such roads, I have the honour to state that portions of the marginally * noted two District Fund Roads lie within the Mysore City Municipal limits and that the amounts noted against them were paid during the year 1932-33 out of the road cess recovered for permits issued for these roads The other Municipalities are not given any share of the cess

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

District Magistrate,
Mysore District

* Mysore-Thirumaludlu Rs 456-4-0
Mysore-Rangachar-hurdi Rs 399-3-6

LNA

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No

Enclosure No

Address DEPCOM HASSAN

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT

reply please refer t
C 349 --
d Ane

Dated Hassan the 22nd September 1933

FROM

M CHENNARAJ URS ESQ B A, LL B
Deputy Commissioner Hassan District

To

I V RAJASVAMI, Esq , I A , S L ,
General Secretary to Government,
B a n g a l o r e

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
25 SEP 1933

SIR

In forwarding herewith in original, letter No A1-161-30 dated 25th May 1933, from the President, District Board, Hassan, re the maintenance of District Funds roads within Municipal limits, I have the honor to state asfollows -

The President states that he addressed the Municipal Councils in the District, requesting them to pay contribution towards the maintenance of District Fund roads lying within Municipal limits, that the Belur, Arrahalli, Sravanabelagola, Arkalgud, Arsikere and Nonanur Municipal Councils are not agreeable to pay any contribution, that the Channarayapatna Municipal Council have not expressed any opinion, and that the Holenarsipur Municipal Council have replied that, as there are no definite instructions on the subject, the question need not be considered now

He adds that the Municipal Councils are bound to maintain the portions of District Fund roads lying within Municipal limits, as contemplated in Sec 44 of the District Boards' Regulation and that the cost of maintenance of such roads has to be met by the Municipal Councils concerned, that under Sec 52 (1) of the

Municipal Regulation of 1906, it is the duty of the Municipal Councils to keep the roads within their limits in good repairs, that at present, the Public Works Departments are maintaining the portions of District Fund roads within Municipal limits at the cost of the District Board, that the expenditure is not legitimate, and requests that the Municipal Councils may be directed to pay to the District Board, the amount spent for this purpose from 27-28 He also requests that definite orders may be passed, directing the Municipal Councils to maintain the portions of District Fund roads within Municipal limits

In this connection, he quotes the analogy of L.No L 9856-82 I 1 237-2C-1, dated 14th June 1927, under which the Municipal Councils are under similar circumstances liable to pay contribution towards the maintenance of state fund roads within Municipal limits

I may bring to your kind notice that though under Sec 44 of the District Boards' Regulation, portions of District Fund roads lying within the Municipal limits do not vest in the District Board, still it is not specifically stated in Sec 54 of the Municipal Regulation of 1906 (Sec 55 of the Town Municipal Regulation of 1933 and Sec 23 of the Minor Municipal Regulation of 1933), that the maintenance of all roads within Municipal limits to whomsoever it may belong, is an obligatory duty of the Municipal Councils If the maintenance of the bits of the District Fund roads lying within Municipal limits should be attended to by the Municipal Councils concerned, as suggested by the President, District Board, then these portions of the roads should be formally handed over by the District Board to the respective Municipalities The next point for consideration is that, if these bits of roads

are handed over to the Municipal Councils, they have to make "reasonable porivison" for the mairtenarce of the roads Since the roads outside the Municipal limits are maintained by the Public Works Department, at the cost of the District Board, the Municipal Councils will have to maintain the bits lying within their limits to the same standard which means, they have to incur similar outlay as is incurred by the Boards in order that the whole length of the road may be maintained in an efficient condition The mairterarce of the bit of the road within the Municipal limits seems to me, on the analogy of the Government Order of 1927, to be the joint responsibility of the Municipal Council and the District Board as the road is made use of both by local and through traffic It is therefore only fair to the Municipal Councils that the District Board should bear a moiety of the maintenance charges, just as the Government is doing in the case of State Fund Roads ($\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{4}$ as the case may be)

In these circumstances, I am of opinion that the portions of the District Fund roads lying within Municipal limits may be ordered to be handed over to the Municipal Councils concerned and that, on the analogy of the Government Order of 14th June 1927, the District Board may be instructed to pay a reasonable contribution to the Municipal Councils, for the maintenance of these roads The proportion of contribution may be fixed by the Deputy Commissioner in consultation with the Executive Engineer

I also suggest that the portions of State Fund roads lying with Municipal limits may also be ordered to be maintained by the Municipa Councils and the proportiorate maintenance charges be paid to the by the State The mainterance of these roads may however be entruste

only to such of the Municipal Councils, which are willing to take up the same

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Thomas

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

103
22/9

as

73

TEL ADDRESS: PRESBOARD

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD,

NO A1 161-30 / LB ENCLS Hassan Dated 25th May 1933

FROM

H B GUNDAPPA ESQ B A B L
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
HASSAN

TO

H-V Ramaswami, Esq , M A , B L ,
General Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Bangalore

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District

Sir,

With reference to your letter No - 2113-20/M1 198-28, dated 16th Septr 30, and in continuation of my letter No A 1 161-30, dated 27th Septr 32, in the matter of paying the contributions by the Municipalities towards the maintenance of the District Fund roads lying within their respective limits, I have the honor to state below the replies received from the several Municipal councils -

The Hassan Municipal Council is maintaining the District Fund roads lying within their limits In this connection, with reference to your letter No L 4243/ L B 109-30-6, dated 8/12th Decr 31, I may mention that the contribution of Rs 158/ which the Municipal Council of Hassan is claiming is not a legitimate charge on the funds of the District Board for the reasons mentioned hereafter and the Board are not liable to pay the contribution

In the 3rd para of the letter of the President of the Hassan Municipal Council, it is stated that if the Board had set out all relevant and material facts,

Government would have retained the figure in the b get estimates It shows that the the Municipal President has exceeded his limits in ~~annoying~~ allowing a re: ponsible corporated body such as the District Boar

Saklespur Municipal Council at their meeting held on 29th June 31, has resolved that the Municipal limi in the Arehalli road is not completely defined and so the Municipality does not see its way of paying the amount of contribution asked for Further the portio of the road that would be within the Municipality aft defining its limits can be maintained by the Municipa itself

Belur Municipal Council at their meeting held o: 17th Jany 32, have resolved that the amount of contri bution cannot be paid by the Municipality

Arsikere Municppality Council at their meeting held on 30th April 31, have resolved ~~that they have resolved~~ that they have not paid any contribution til now and are not willing to pay in future

Harnahalli Municipal Council says that it is not possible to pay as it is a poor Municipality

Chennarayapatna Municipal Council have not given any opinion to pay the contribution

Sravanabelgola Municipal Council states that it not possible to pay the amount for the maintenance of the roads as it is a poor Municipality

Hole-Narsipur Municipal Council says that as the: is no definite instructions in the Government order al the maintenance of the District Fund roads by the Mun:

Municipality, the question need not be considered now and the maintenance may be taken as usual

Arkalgud Municipal Council are not in favour of giving contribution as the roads are more useful to the rural population and since it is a minor Municipalit They have further drawn an anology of the Village Panchayets and states that as they are not paying any quota to the District Board, the Municipality also is not bound to pay the same

Konanur Municipal Council says that as a toll gate is established and toll is being levied by the District Board for the maintenance of the road it is not possible to pay contribution They have further stated that if the portion of the road is handed over to the Municipali it can be maintained by the Municipality ~~and~~ Section 44 of the District Boards Regulation permits the same

The Distance of District Fund roads that lie in M Municipal limits etc , is as follows:-

Name of the Municipality and the names of roads in the Municipal area		Miles	Fur	yds	A grant given by the Dt Board	Remarks
Hassan Municipality						The Municipality is maintaining the roads
Belur	Bage-Belur road	1	-	-	200	
	Belur-Mudigere	-	4	-	100	
Arsikere	Arsikere-Hulliyar	-	4	-	120	
	Arsikere-Chennarayapatna	-	4	-	100	
Chennarayapatna	Chennarayapatna-~~Sravanbelgola~~ Sreerangapatna	-	4	-	150	

Sravanabelgola				
Chennarayapatna-Sravanabelgola	0	4	0	120/
Hole-Narsipur Municipality				
C N K road	2	5	0	150/
Yelwal-Hassan road	2	0	47	100/
Arkalgud Municipality				
C N K Road	1	7	0	150/
Hassan-Periyapatna-Cannanore	2	2	0	120/
Konanur Municipality				
Frazerpet-Ramanathapur road	1	0	0	100/

The Municipal Councils are bound to maintain the District Fund roads lying within their limits at their cost as contemplated in section 44 of the District Boards Regulation of 1926 From the letter No L 4109/M1 131-31-2 dated 3rd Novr/17th Decr 31, received from Government it can be seen that the portion of the District Fund roads lying within the area of a Municipality do not vest in District Board and so the cost of the maintenance of such portion of the roads has to be met by the concerned Municipal Councils

According to section 54 (1) of the Municipal Regulation, it is the duty of the Municipal Councils to kee the roads within Municipal limits, whether provincial or District Fund or Municipal in good repairs

Under G O No L 8856-82/M1 237-26-1, dated 14th June 1927, the Municipalities are responsible to keep the road referred to above that lie within their limits in good order

At present the Executive Engineer is effecting repairs etc , to the portions of District Fund roads within

the Municipal limits by at the cost of the District funds, which is not a legitimate charge Hence, I request that you will be so good as to kindly obtain necessary orders of Government instructing the Municipalities to pay up the amount already spent for the purpose by the Board from the year 1927-28 [redacted] This in fact would go a great way in relieving the District Board from its financial difficulties by effecting a substantial saving of [redacted] nearly Rs 1000/ per annum

Further, necessary definite orders may kindly be issued to the Municipalities to maintain the portions of the District Fund roads lying within their respective limits in future

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H B [illegible]

President,
District Board, Hassan

Mr 198-28-37 78

Tel Add ss Depc m
CHITALDRUG

I ply plea ref
N AG L C 186/33-34
E losures

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 13th November *193* 3

2253

FROM

M Seshadri Esquire M A ,
XXX *Deputy Commissioner*
Chitaldrug District

To

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
15 NOV 1933

H V.Ramaswami Esquire M A ,B L ,
General Secretary to Government
B A N G A L O R E

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L.725-32 Ml 198-28 dated 25th July 1933 enquiring whether Municipal Councils within whose limits bits of District Fund roads lie are being given their share of the road cess on motor vehicles passing along such roads etc , have the honor to state that the President, District Board intims that no portion of the road cess credited to District Funds is given out to Municipalities in this District

I have the honor to be
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

Try Assistant Commissioner
For Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District,
(Absent from station)

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore the 18th November.

Ml 198-28-38 2352 2-79

K V Anantaraman, Esq , B A ,
District Magistrate
Bangalore District

SECRETARIAT
21 NOV 1933
No. L S 5/11

H V Ramaswami, Esq , M A ,B L ,
General *Secretary*
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Subject —

With reference to your letter No L.725-32/Ml 198-28 dated 25-7-1933, requesting to state whether Municipal Councils within whose limits bits of District fund roads lie, are being given their shares of the road cess, I have the honour to state that except the Bangalore City Municipality no other Municipality is being paid

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Mr 198-28-39

[illegible]-01/33-34 **OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER**
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated Shimoga 8th December 1933

2741

T V A ISVARAN Esq, B A
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

33

I V Rama Wami Esq M A B L
General Secretary to Government
Bangalore

9 DEC 1933
No. 6 59

With reference to your letter No 725-C2/1 198-28 dated 25th July 33 calling for a report as to whether Municipal Councils within whose limits bits of District and roads lie are being given their share of the road cess on motor vehicles passing along such roads I have the honour to state that no Municipal Council in this District is in receipt of a share out of the road cess collected on motor vehicles.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
R Ramaiyangar
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

5297

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur the 12th May

12198-28-40

FROM

T ABDUL KHADER Esq, BA
Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District
TUMKUR

To

Venkatanarana pa, Esquire, B A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Development Dept *BANGALORE*

DEV SECTT.
GE 15 MAY 1934
L S G BRANCH.

SIR

Subject —

Adverting to your letter No L 725-32 dated 25th ~~July~~ 1934 and subsequent reminders thereon enquiring whether Municipal Councils within whose limits bits of District Fund roads lie are being given their share of the road cess on motor vehicles, I have the honor to state that road cess at the rate of Rs 22-13-0 per bus is being given to the Tumkur Town Municipality for the five buses running on the District Fund road of length 1 mile within the Municipal limits The other Municipalities are not given anything

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 12/5

Maintenance of District Fund Roads within Municipal limits

The question has been raised whether Municipalities should not be required to pay contributions to the District Boards for the maintenance of the District Fund roads passing through their limits on the analogy of the payment made by them to Government in respect of the sections of State Fund Roads lying within their limits under G O No L 8856-82/M1 237-26-1 dated 14-6-27 This proposal is in accordance with sections 55(1) & 230(6) of the Town & Minor Municipalities Regulations respectively under which it is the duty of the Municipal Council to keep the roads within Municipal limits, whether Provincial, District Board or Municipal in good repair or if the P W D or Dt Board maintain such roads to pay for the cost of repair

Before passing orders in the matter it was considered necessary to obtain information re the length of the District Fund roads in the Municipal areas and the contribution payable by the municipalities in respect of them Enquiry was also made as to whether contributions are being made by Municipal Councils in any District towards the maintenance of such roads It is ascertained that no such contribution is being made except by the Alur Municipal Council in the case of a loop road The length of District Fund roads lying within municipal limits and the contribution payable in respect thereof by Municipal Councils are noted in the statement appended

The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, has suggested that the lists of Dist Fund roads passing through municipalities should be handed over to the respective Municipal Councils, who should be asked to maintain the roads at their cost He has also raised the question whether in asking from for such payments the municipalities should not be made to pay only a portion of the expenditure incurred on the roads, as in the case of State Fund roads

The Chief Engineer is of opinion that the Dist Boards should pay for the maintenance of their roads passing through the municipal limits at the usual mileage grant rates for the same roads beyond the said limits and that the municipalities should be required to pay a certain proportion of the maintenance charges ranging from $\frac{1}{8}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ mileage grants, as it is more costly to maintain roads within municipal limits than outside He also suggests that if any concession is considered necessary on account of the slender finances of any of the municipalities the same percentage of contribution as is fixed in the case of State Fund roads lying within municipal limits may be fixed in such cases

The subject is placed before the Standing Committee for their opinion

bnl

Name of the District	Length of Dist Fund Roads l ing within Ml Limits	Contribution due by the Ml Cls for maintenance charges
Bangalore	8 miles 1 4/5 furlongs	Rs 985
Kolar	6 ' 2 Furlongs	2205
Shimoga	6 ' 3 "	578
Mysore	10 3 "	2436
Kadur	3 ' 5 '	281
Tumkur	7 1 "	1093
Chitaldrug	8 ' 2 "	1153
Hassan	16 " 6 "	2211

ANN 2

[G R. S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

65

Section 2nd

File No 198 - 28

Serial No 41

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 16 4 May ..1936

Signed by M A S ...

May H -

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standi g order?

(ii) published in the Gazette Engl sh Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

Read— Sr no 2 68-56 - 8 2/2nd 137-28-1 dy 14-6-27 enclosing letter from the Secy of Reforms & State forwarding extracts from minutes recorded thereon by the P W D dy the replies

2) Sr no 2 8-26/2nd 147-29-9 dy 16-10-29 directing that the rates already fixed for the replies under the above orders be fixed at $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$ pie per mile as the case may be according to the average mileage of road maintenance charges, having regard to the nature of the traffic passing over the said roads — whether through or local or both

3 Memo no C 2 3446/28-29 dy 8-5-29 from the Secy to Govt Bangalore Dy, forwarding letter no A 211-7/27-28 dy 1-5-29 from the Public Works

Read 9/4/36

86

Despatch stating that though para 2 of G.O. dt 14-6-27 applies also to St. Fund Roads passing through rural limits the more specific rules are agreeable to the payments towards the maintenance of those roads and requesting orders regarding the mode of realisation of the amounts spent for the maintenance of the St. Fund Roads lying within rural limits

& Correspondence ending with the dly. conv. of St. and the petn. of St. Bds. on the subject

5 Despatch note no 374 dt 7-2-36 of the Chief Engineer on the subject

---

Order no L 7840-58 dt 16 4 36

List of Enclosure

The question has been raised whether the municipalities should be required to pay contributions to the Boards for the maintenance of the St. Fund Roads passing through their limits, on the analogy of the payments made by them to Govt in respect of the sections of State Fund Roads lying within their limits, under G.O. no L 8858-82/rural 237-26-1 dt 14-6-27. This proposal

Copied by

Examined by

46

is in accordance with sections 55 (1) and 23 (1) (1) of the Town and Minor Roads Regulations respectively, under which it is the duty of the Chiefs to keep the roads within and limits whether proclaimed, DTAD or not in good repair, or ~~of the opinion that the DTAD should maintain such roads~~ to pay for the cost of repair of such roads are maintained by the PWD or DTAD.

2 It is reported that at present no contributions are being made by and Chiefs in any district towards the maintenance of DT and roads passing through their limits, except by the Alur and Chief in the case of a loop road the length of the DT and roads lying within and limits and the contribution payable in respect thereof by and Chief are noted in the statement appended.

3. The Chief Engr, who was consulted in the matter, is of opinion that the DTAD should pay for the maintenance of these roads passing through the and limits at the usual mileage grant rate

WD 03603—GPB—15 000—8-7 85

for the same roads beyond the rural limits
and that the counties should also be required
to pay a certain proportion of the maintenance
charges ranging from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ rate of grants,
as it is more costly to maintain roads
within rural limits than outside

4 After considering all aspects of
the question we are pleased to direct
that where practicable, the maintenance
of the ~~trunk~~ roads ~~referred to be~~ within Municipal limits be
handed over to the authorities concerned and the maintenance
grants now paid made over to it on
condition that it spends not less than
that amount on such roads Elsewhere
the existing practice may continue

in consultation with the Standing Committee for Local Self Govt

new 31/3

1 Mr 31/3 Secretary Dept

To

The Secs of Govts Trans Dep Govts
" Chief Engrs
" Dep Comrs of Ds
" Executive Officers

Copy

Print